GENE ACTION AND INHERITANCE OF SOME QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE TRAITS IN THREE BREAD WHEAT CROSSES Morad*, S.S. B; H. E .Yassien*, A. M. Moussa**; and A. S.A. Mahmoud**

*Fac. of Agric, Al-Azhar Univ. and **ARC. FCRI. Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The six populations i.e., P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BCI and BCII of the three crosses (Sids 4 x Sids 1) (Sids 1x Giza 168) and (Giza 168x Gemmeiza 9) were used to estimate genetic variance, gene action, heterosis, inbreeding depression, heritability and genetic advance for the days to heading, days to maturity and plant height as well as yield and its components traits. The experiment was conducted at Sids Agric. Res. Stan. (Beni suef governorate) during the three successive growing seasons 2003 / 2004, 2004 / 2005 and 2005 / 2006. Significant positive heterosis effects relative to mid parent values were obtained for number of spikelets / spike, number of kernels / spike, grain yield / spike and grain yield / plant in the three crosses and for 1000-kernel weight in the 2nd and 3rd cross. Significant negative heterosis effects were found for days to heading, days to maturity and plant height in the three crosses, and for number of spikes / plant in the 2nd cross. Significant negative inbreeding depression were detected for days to heading, days to maturity and number of spikes / plant in the three crosses, for plant height in the 2nd and 3rd crosses and for 1000-kernel weight in the 1st and 3rd crosses. Significant positive inbreeding depression were obtained for number of spikelets /spike, number of kernels / spike and grain yield / spike in the three crosses, for 1000-kernel weight in the 2nd cross and for grain yield / plant in the 2nd and 3rd cross. The additive type of gene action was significant either positive or negative for all traits except days to heading, days to maturity and 1000-kernel weight in the 3rd cross, plant height, number of spikelets/ spike and grain yield / spike in the 2nd and 3rd crosses and grain yield / plant in the 2nd cross. Dominance effects were significant either positive or negative for all traits except plant height, number of spikelets / spike and 1000-kernel weight in the 3rd cross, number of spikes / plant in the 2nd cross and grain yield / spike in the 1st cross. The additive x additive gene effects were significant for all studied traits except plant height in the 1st and 3rd crosses, number of spikes / plant in the 1st cross as well as number of spikelets / spike and 1000- kernel weight in the 3rd cross. The additive x dominance gene effects exhibited significant for the majority traits except days to heading in the 2nd cross, plant height and number of spikelets / spike and 1000kernel weight in the 3rd crosses and grain yield / spike in the 2nd and 3rd crosses. The dominance x dominance gene effects were found to be significant either positive or negative for all traits expect plant height in

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol.26, No.1, January, 2012

the 3rd cross. High to moderate heritability values in broad sense were detected for most studied traits. Moderate heritability values in narrow sense were detected for most studied traits except tw cases. These results ascertained that both additive and non–additive genetic variance were important in the existence of variability in these traits.

Key words: Gene action, inheritance, quantitative and qualitative traits, genetic variance, gene action, heterosis.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is the most important cereal crop in Egypt and world. Also, it provides a major source of energy, protein and dietary fiber in human nutrition. In Egypt, remarkable progress has been made to develop new varieties possessing high yield potentiality, tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses to increase the local production and to minimize the gap between national production and total consumption, so more attention efforts should be made with respect to solve this problem. Wheat breeders are largely concerned with obtaining information concerning the genetic systems controlling quantitative characters using statistical techniques, which enable them to test for epistasis and obtain precise and unbiased estimates of the additive and dominance components of genetic variation. Many investigators studied the type of gene effect in wheat genotypes and reported that partial dominance was relatively more important than additive in the inheritance of grain yield, while additive genetic effects were predominant in the expression of heading date, plant height and kernel weight. Also, high values of heritability and insignificant epistatic effect were detected in the inheritance of these traits Singh et al. (1985). On the other hand, Amawate and Behl (1995) revealed that dominance gene effects were more important than additive ones in most traits which showed presence of both types of gene effects. Results of Sharma et al. (1998) and Yadav and Narsinghani (1999) indicated that additive gene effects were predominant for yield and yield components, though non-additive gene effects were also important. This investigation was planned to study the nature of gene action and other genetic parameters i.e., heterosis, heritability, genetic advance under selection and inbreeding depression of yield and yield components and earliness in six hexaploid wheat crosses by using their six populations i.e., P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BCI, BCII.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Sids Agricultural Research Station, ARC, Ministry of Agric, Egypt, during the successive growing seasons of 2003 / 2004, 2004 / 2005 and 2005 / 2006. Pedigree of the used parental genotypes of hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum*, L.) was presented in Table (1).

Table (1): The name, origin and pedigree of wheat genotypes used in this study.
--

Name	Origin	Pedigree
Sids 4	Egypt	Maya"s"/Mons"s"//CMH74A.592/3/Giza157*2 SD10002-140sd-3sd-1sd-0sd
Sids 1	Egypt	HD 2172/Pavon's'//1158.57/Maya 74's'
Giza 168	Egypt	MRL / BUC // Seri 82 CM 93046-8M-0Y-0M-2Y-0B
Gemmeiza 9	Egypt	Ald"s"/Huac"s"//CMH74 A.630/Sx CGM583-5GM-2GM-0GM

In 2003 / 2004 season, the parents were grown in three sowing dates with 12 to 15 days intervals to produce hybrid seeds of the three crosses namely; Sids 4 X Sids 1 (cross I), Sids 1 X Giza 168 (cross II), Giza 168 X Gemmeiza 9 (cross III). In 2004 / 2005 season, the parents were grown again and crossed to obtain more F₁ seeds, and the F₁ plants were back-crossed for both parents to obtain BCI (P₁ X F₁) and BCII (P₂ X F₁) and some of F₁ plants were selfed to obtain F₂ generation seeds. In 2005 / 2006 season, the six populations i.e., F₁, F₂ and the two backcrosses of each cross of the three intra-specific crosses were widely spaced sowing as individual plants in a Randomized Complete Block Design with four replicates. Each genotype was grown individually in rows 3 m. long and 30 cm. apart and 10 cm. within rows. Each plot contained two rows of each of the parents and F_1 , three rows of BCI, BCII in addition to eight rows of the F_2 generation of every cross. The recommended agronomic practices for growing wheat were carried out. Data were taken on the individual plants of the six populations for the three crosses. At harvesting, the data were obtained from 80, 80, 80, 240, 120 and 120 guarded individual plants for P₁, P₂, F₁, F₂, BCI and BCII of each cross, respectively. The studied traits were : days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, number of spikes / plant, number of spikelets / spike, number of kernels / spike, grain yield / spike, 1000-kernel weight and grain yield / plant.

Statistical and genetic analysis:

The A, B, C, and D scaling tests as outlined by Mather (1949) and Hayman and Mather (1955) were applied to test the presence of non-allelic interaction. The values of A, B, C and D should be equal to zero within the limits of this standard error. The analysis was proceeded to compute the interaction types involved the six parameters genetic model of Hayman (1958) and Jinks and Jones (1958). Heritability percentage in broad and narrow sense was computed as outlined by Mather & Jenks (1982). The expected genetic advance under selection (Δg) was computed according to Johnson *et al.* (1955). Also, the expected gain was expressed as a percentage of F_2 mean (Δg %) according to Miller *et al.* (1958). Values of F_1 heterosis relative to mid-parent were calculated according to Bhatt (1971). Inbreeding depression (I.D %) was calculated as the difference between the F_1 and F_2 means expressed as a percentage of the F_1 mean.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Significant genetic variance was detected for all studied traits in the three crosses therefore other genetical parameters were estimated. Also differences between the two parents in each cross were significant for all studied traits. The existence of significant genetic variability in spite of the significant differences between the parents obtained herein in most traits may suggest that the genes of like effects were not completely associated in the parents i.e., these genes are dispersed .

Mean performance:

Means and variances of the studied traits in the six populations P_1 , P_2 , F_1 , F_2 , BCI and BCII for the three crosses are presented in Table 2. The analysis of variance indicated that there were significant differences among the studied generations in all traits under study hence, the needed parameters were computed. Data presented and tabulated proved that most of segregating populations were in medium values between the two respective parents for all studied traits with exception of few cases which were higher or lower in the magnitude than the respective parents.

Gene action:

The choice of the most efficient breeding procedures depends, to large extent, on the knowledge of the genetic system controlling the traits to be selected. The estimates of various types of gene effects contributing to the genetic variability are presented in Table 3. Nature of gene action was also studied according to relationships illustrated by Gamble (1962). All traits under study were significant for scaling tests A, B, C and /or D in the three crosses. Concerning scaling test D, all traits under study were insignificant except for days to heading in the 3rd cross, days to maturity in the 2nd and 3rd crosses, for plant height in the 1st and 2nd crosses, for number of spikes / plant, 1000-kernel weight and grain yield / plant only in the 2nd cross and for number of spikelets /spike in the 1st one. Similar results were obtained by Al-Kaddoussi (1996), Hamada (2003), Hendawy (2003), Singh (2003), Garole and Monpara (2005), Ashour et al. (2006), Naeem and Chowdhry (2006) and Kavar et al. (2007). Also, the major contribution by dominance gene effects to variation of some traits in these crosses indicated by the relative magnitude of the parameter (d) to the parameter(m). In addition, the estimates of dominance effects were significant except for grain yield / spike in the 1^{st} cross, for number of spikes /plant in the 2^{nd} cross and for plant height, number of spikelets / spike and 1000-kernel weight in the 3rd cross, indicating the importance of dominance gene effects in the inheritance of these traits. Significant additive (a) and dominance (d) components indicated that both additive and dominance effects were important for most traits. Similar conclusion was previously obtained by Al-Kaddoussi (1996), Hamada (2003), Hendawy (2003), Singh (2003), Garole and Monpara (2005), Ashour et. al. (2006), Naeem and Chowdhry (2006) and Kavar et al.

Significant estimates for epistatic gene effects for one or more of the three epistasis types were exhibited in the three crosses for all studied traits, except additive x additive (aa) for plant height and number of spikes / plant in the cross, spikecetes/spike in the 3rd cross and for plant height, number of spikelets / spike and 1000-kernel weight, additive x dominance (ad)

for days to heading and grain yield / spike in the 2^{nd} cross and in the 3^{rd} cross for plant height, number of spiketits / spike grain yield/ spike and 1000-kernel weight in the 3^{rd} cross and dominance x dominance (dd) for plant height in the 3^{rd} cross. Generally, the absolute magnitudes of the epistatic effects were larger than the additive or **Table (2):** Mean (X) and variance (S^2) of six populations of the three bread wheat crosses I, II and

III for the all studied traits.

Character	Cross	Statistical parameter	P ₁	\mathbf{P}_2	$\mathbf{F_1}$	\mathbf{F}_2	BCI	BCII
to ng	I	X	70.90	103.55	83.15	91.76	93.48	96.93
		$\frac{S^2}{V}$	2.91	3.97	2.95	31.51	23.18	20.97
Days to heading	II	$\frac{\overline{X}}{\mathbf{S}^2}$	103.5	95.11	81.03 2.34	94.58	98.31	94.13
D ₂		$\frac{S}{X}$	3.97 95.11	3.76 101.43	90.30	21.73 95.12	17.45 94.03	13.10 93.21
	III	$\frac{\Lambda}{S^2}$	3.76	3.88	2.42	35.02	27.37	29.78
ity	_	$\frac{S}{X}$	136.3	152.95	143.89	146.47	148.71	152.7
Plant height(cm)Days to maturity	I	\mathbf{S}^{2}	2.66	3.46	1.68	28.96	19.59	22.15
nat		$\frac{S}{X}$	152.9	144.84	140.93	145.43	147.79	145.6
1 01	II	$\frac{\Lambda}{S^2}$	3.46	3.12	1.39	19.51	13.66	12.78
ys 1	777	\overline{X}	114.8	151.19	139.71	144.02	142.07	143.1
Da	III	S^2	3.12	2.20	2.02	31.50	23.41	27.32
m (m	I	\overline{X}	104.1	132.38	115.63	115.26	108.75	119.2
lt(c	1	$\underline{\mathbf{S}^2}$	14.86	13.31	11.77	94.42	68.92	81.95
igh	II	X	132.4	109.56	101.68	104.28	113.31	114.6
he	- 11	$\underline{\mathbf{S}}^2$	13.31	15.65	13.26	102.91	76.67	87.39
ant	III	\overline{X}	109.5	109.06	107.13	109.72	108.88	109.7
<u> </u>		S^2	15.65	13.86	12.82	126.00	96.45	81.79
<u>. +</u>	I	\overline{X}	4.78	20.33	12.39	13.79	12.44	16.81
r o lar		$\frac{S^2}{S^2}$	3.73	4.26	2.97	32.82	20.08	24.58
nbe s/F	II	X	20.33	15.16	12.93	14.68	15.26	16.84
Number of spikes/Plant		$\frac{S^2}{V}$	4.26	3.88	2.81	38.17	27.44	25.68
Z ds	III	$\frac{X}{S^2}$	15.16 3.88	16.48 3.02	15.88 2.99	18.04 34.20	13.60 25.47	15.82 27.97
		$\frac{S}{X}$	25.31	21.59	24.56	22.33	24.64	25.70
r ke	I	$\frac{\Lambda}{S^2}$	0.83	0.65	0.45	11.80	8.74	6.99
r of /spi		$\frac{S}{X}$	21.59	24.43	24.07	22.94	23.74	23.65
Number of spikelet's/spike	II	$\frac{\Lambda}{S^2}$	0.65	1.70	0.66	10.02	9.40	7.71
Nur	III	$\frac{S}{X}$	24.43	24.86	24.73	23.44	23.04	22.95
Spi		$\frac{\Lambda}{S^2}$	0.79	1.01	0.74	16.65	10.27	12.16
		$\frac{S}{X}$	98.41	65.51	92.95	79.62	84.69	78.79
	I	$\frac{\Lambda}{S^2}$	11.13	8.91	5.62	92.97	66.69	70.04
Number of			65.51	75.89	89.14	70.53	78.57	81.48
kernels/spike	II	$\frac{\overline{X}}{\mathbf{S}^2}$	10.25	8. 91	7. 02	76.82	61.88	55.87
		$\frac{S}{X}$	75. 89	72.65	84.24	71.52	71.69	76.28
	III	\mathbf{S}^2	8. 91	9. 58	5.73	102.85	91.49	75.23

I- Sids 4 x Sids 1, II- Sids 1x Giza 168 and III- Giza 168 x Gemmeiza 9

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol.26, No.1, January, 2012

Table (2): cont

Table (2): Cont								
Character	Cross	Statistical parameter	$\mathbf{P_1}$	\mathbf{P}_2	F ₁	F ₂	ВСІ	ВСП
e(g)	т	\overline{X}	4.01	2.93	3.90	3.66	3.48	3.64
	I	S^2	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.31	0.18	0.28
Grain d/spiko	II	\overline{X}	2.93	2.84	3.87	3.13	3.88	3.77
Gr. d/sj	11	S^2	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.35	0.22	0.28
Grain yield/spike(g)	III	\overline{X}	2.84	3.04	3.45	3.37	3.42	3.57
	111	S^2	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.47	0.21	0.50
	I	$\frac{\overline{X}}{\mathbf{S}^2}$	46.82	40.47	43.91	46.51	40.58	47.67
nel g)		S^2	4.43	5.53	4.35	36.03	27.44	30.00
1000-kernel weight(g)	II	\overline{X}	40.47	42.34	47.72	43.71	50.19	48.28
00-l	111	S^2	5.53	4.00	3.72	39.09	27.93	26.08
10(w	III	\overline{X}	42.34	41.66	42.60	47.63	46.96	46.34
		$\frac{\overline{X}}{S^2}$	4.00	4.53	3.07	44.35	39.59	31.95
	I	\overline{X}	16.92	34.13	35.61	35.78	31.79	40.63
	1	S^2	15.70	16.78	11.19	94.50	89.69	78.65
Grain d/plan	II	\overline{X}	34.13	30.28	45.42	44.94	40.80	37.05
Gr.		S^2	16.78	13.60	10.65	99.41	72.28	76.52
Grain yield/plant(g)	TIT	\overline{X}	30.28	30.48	36.25	35.60	35.17	34.61
	III	S^2	13.60	18.53	15.57	107.01	89.58	91.56

I- Sids 4 x Sids 1, II- Sids 1x Giza 168 and III- Giza 168 x Gemmeiza 9

dominance gene effects in most cases. Therefore, it could be concluded that epistatic effect was important as a major contributor in the performance of these cases. These results agree with the idea that the inheritance of quantitative traits is generally more complex than single qualitative ones. Similar results were obtained by Yadav and Narsinghani (1999) and Darwish and Ashoush (2003), Hamada (2003), Ashok and Sharma (2005) and Saharan and Singh (2009). Heterosis:

Significant or highly significant positive heterotic effects relative to mid parent values (Table 4) were obtained for number of spikelets / spike, number of kernels / spike, grain yield / spike and grain yield / plant in the three crosses and for 1000-kernel weight in the 2nd and 3rd crosses. Also, significant or highly significant negative heterotic effects relative to mid parent were detected for days to heading, days to maturity and plant height in the three crosses and for number of spikes / plant in the second cross. Negative heterosis estimates for days to heading and days to maturity is preferable from the point of view of wheat breeder. However, in wheat earliness is favorable for escaping destructive injuries caused by stress conditions. Hence, it could be concluded that the three populations are valuable in breeding for earliness. Similar results were reported by **Hamada (2003).** Highly significant negative heterosis for plant height was found in the three crosses. This result is important to obtain semi dwarf bread wheat which resistant to lodging. This result is in agreement with that obtained by **El-Seidy and Hamada (2000)** and **Hamada (2003).** Significant positive

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol.26, No.1, January, 2012

heterotic effects were obtained for most yield and yield components in the three crosses. This result reflected the large diversity of parent's genetic constitution. Similar results were reported by **El-Hosary** *et al.* (2000).

Inbreeding depression:

Highly significant positive inbreeding depression values were obtained for number of spikelets / spike, number of kernels / spike and grain yield / spike in the all crosses (Table 4). Also, significant positive values were detected for 1000-kernel weight in the $2^{\rm nd}$ cross and for grain yield / plant in the $2^{\rm nd}$ and $3^{\rm rd}$ crosses. On the other hand, significant negative values were obtained for days to heading, days to maturity, and number of spikes / plant in the all crosses and for plant height in the $2^{\rm nd}$ and $3^{\rm rd}$ crosses. Significant effects for both heterosis and inbreeding depression were associated for most cases. This was logical, since the expression of heterosis in F_1 will be followed by considerable reduction in F_2 performance.

Insignificant heterosis and significant inbreeding depression values were obtained for number of spikes / plant in the 1st and 3rd crosses and 1000-kernel weight only in the 1st one (Table 4).

Heritability estimates:

Heritability in board sense for the studied traits were estimated (Table 4). High heritability values were detected for all studied traits in the three crosses. Similar results had been reported by Hamada (2003) for days to heading, days to maturity, plant height, number of spikes / plant, 1000-kernel weight and grain yield / plant. However, data indicated that H²b ranged from 95.08 for number of spikelets / spike in the 3rd cross to 79.45 for grain yield/spike in the1st cross. Moderate heritability were estimated for plant height and grain yield / spike in the ecrosses, for days to heading and number of kernel / spike in the 1st and 2nd crosses, for days to maturity and 1000-kernel weight in the 1st cross, for grain yield / plant in the 2nd cross and for number of spikes / plant in the 3rd cross. Results ascertained those both additive and non-additive genetic variances were important and play a key role in the inheritance of the traits under study. Similarly in magnitude between values for both broad and narrow means was also reached before by Al-Kaddoussi and Eissa (1990), Al-Kaddoussi (1996), Menshawy (1996), Ozkan et al. (1997), Shehab El-Din (1997), Salama (2000), Awaad (2002), Hamada et al. (2002), Hamada (2003), Yadav et al. (2003), Tammam (2005), Abd El-Aty and Katta (2007). El-Borhamy et al. (2008) and Nawracaa et al. (2008).

Expected genetic gain (Δg):

The values for expected genetic advance reported in Table 4 show the possible gain from selection as percent increase in the F_3 families over their selected F_2 plants. Genetic gain was higher for plant height and number of kernels / spike in the three crosses and grain yield / plant in the 2^{nd} cross. Moderate gain was estimated for days to heading, days to maturity, number of spikes / plant and 1000 kernel weight in the three crosses and for number of spikelets / spike and grain yield / plant in the 1^{st} and 3^{rd} crosses. Relatively low gain was estimated for other cases (Table 4). For grain yield / plant, one cross only (cross II) gave high value of genetic advance (10.33) where the other two crosses showed medium values and ranged from 4.38 for (cross I) to 6.55 for (cross III). In general, cross III showed the highest values with comparing

table 3

9

Cont. table 3

Table (4): Heterosis, inbreeding depression (I.D %), heritability percentage in broad (H^2b) and narrow senses (h^2n) and expected genetic advance from selection (Δ g and Δ g %) for the three crosses for all studied traits.

Character	Crosses	Heterosis	I.D %	H^2b	h ² n	$\Delta \mathbf{g}$	∆g %
Days to heading	I	-4.67**	-10.36**	89.85	59.87	6.92	7.54
	II	-18.42**	-16.72**	85.73	59.48	5.71	6.04
	III	-8.11**	-5.33**	91.10	36.80	4.49	4.72
	Ι	-0.53**	-1.80**	91.81	55.85	6.19	4.23
Days to maturity	II	-5.35**	-3.19**	88.01	64.50	5.87	4.04
	III	-5.60**	-3.08**	92.58	38.98	4.51	3.13
	Ι	-2.19**	0.32	86.31	40.22	8.05	6.98
Plant height(cm)	II	-15.95**	-2.56**	86.52	40.58	8.48	8.13
	III	-2.00**	-2.42**	89.06	58.55	13.54	12.34
Number of	I	-1.29	-11.29**	89.38	63.91	7.54	54.71
	II	-27.13**	-13.57**	90.99	60.83	7.74	52.73
spikes/Plant	III	0.36	-13.66**	90.58	43.75	5.27	29.21
Number of	I	4.74**	9.08**	90.00	59.80	4.60	33.71
spikelet's/spike	II	4.61**	4.68**	91.68	22.33	1.84	14.70
spikeiet s/spike	III	0.33*	5.19**	92.49	58.87	5.06	35.15
Number of kernels/spike	I	13.41**	14.34**	94.99	66.70	4.72	21.14
	II	26.08**	20.88*8	90.81	29.26	1.91	8.32
	III	13.42**	15.10**	95.08	65.29	5.49	23.41
Grain yield/spike(g)	I	12.21**	6.11**	91.59	52.94	10.52	13.21
	II	34.35**	19.33**	89.19	46.72	8.44	11.96
	III	17.13**	2.09**	92.72	37.90	7.92	11.07
1000-kernel	I	0.61	-5.92**	79.45	52.40	0.60	16.49
weight(g)	II	15.25**	8.42**	82.01	55.13	0.67	21.36
	III	1.42*	-11.83**	86.37	50.90	0.72	21.33
Grain	I	39.50**	-0.49	87.05	40.56	5.02	10.78
yield/plant(g)	II	41.05**	1.06**	89.14	61.83	7.96	18.22
	III	20.39**	2.06**	91.73	38.67	5.30	11.14

I- Sids 4 x Sids 1, II- Sids 1x Giza 168 and III- Giza 168 x Gemmeiza 9 *and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

to the other crosses with plant height, spike length, number of spikelets / spike and grain yield / spike.

Quantitative characters having high heritability values may be of great help for selection based on phenotypic performance. Paradoxically, **Johanson** *et al.*, (1955) in their studies in Soybean reported that heritability estimates along with genetic gain are usually more useful than the heritability values alone in predicting the resultant for selecting the best individuals. On the other hand, **Al-Kaddoussi and Eissa** (1990) pointed out that high heritability is not always associated with high genetic advance, but to make effective selection, high heritability should be associated with high genetic gain. Similar results were obtained by **Liu and Ma** (1994), **Johanson** *et al.* (1955), **Al-Kaddoussi** (1996), **Dhanda and Sethi** (1996), **Ozkan** *et al.* (1997), **Hagras** (1999), **Ghimiray and Sarkar** (2000), **Hamada** (2003), **Hendawy** (2003), **Said** (2003), **Yadav** *et al.* (2003), **Tammam** (2005), **Ashour** *et al.* (2006), **Kavar** *et al.* (2007) and **Lokendra** *et al.* (2007).

Fayoum J. Agric. Res. & Dev., Vol.26, No.1, January, 2012

REFERENCES

- **Abd El-Aty, M.S. and Y.S. Katta** (2007): Estimation of genetic parameters using five populations in three bread wheat crosses. Egyptian J. of Plant Breading 11(2): 627-639 Special Issue. Proceed. Fifth Plant Breading Conf. May 27, 2007.
- **Al-Kaddoussi, A.R.** (1996): Using genetic components for predicting new recombinant lines crosses of Egyptian wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Zagazig J. Agric. Rec. 23: 463-475.
- **Al-Kaddoussi, A.R. and M.M. Eissa (1990):** Gene action and prediction of some yield attributes in four wheat crosses (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 28: 2013-2022.
- Amawate, J.S. and P.N. Behl (1995): Genetical analysis of some quantitative components of yield in bread wheat. Ind. J. Genet. 55: 120-125.
- **Ashok, K. and S. C. Sharma (2005):** Gene action and heterosis for some quantitative characters in bread wheat [*Triticum aestivum (L.)* Em. Thell.] under different moisture conditions. Indian J. Genet. and Pl. Breeding. 2005. 65: 4, 281-283. 5 ref. (C.F. Computer Inter. Agric. Cent. for Information Service).
- **Ashour, B. M.; A. Arzani; A. Rezaei and S. A. M. M. Maibody (2006):** Study of inheritance of yield and related traits in five crosses of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). J. of Sci. and Technology of Agric. and Natural Resources. 2006. 9: 4, 123-136. 14 ref. (C.F. Computer Inter. Agric. Cent. for Information Service).
- **Awaad, H.A. (2002):** genetic analysis, response to selection and production of new recombinant lines in bread wheat. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 29 (5): 1343-1365.
- **Bhatt, G.M. (1971):** Heterosis, performance and combining ability in a diallel cross among spring wheat. Aust. J. Of Agric. Res. 22: 359 369.
- **Darwish, I.H. and H.A. Ashoush (2003):** Heterosis, gene effect, heritability and genetic advance in bread wheat. Minofiya J. Agric. Res., 28 (2): 433-444.
- **Dhanda, S.S. and G.S. Sethi (1996):** Genetics and inter relationships of grain and its related traits in bread wheat under irrigated rainfed condition. Wheat Info. Serv., 83: 19-27.
- El-Borhamy, H.S.; M.A. El-Maghraby and A.M. Gadallah (2008): Genetic behavior of yield and its components in three bread wheat crosses. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 86 (3): 973-984.
- **El-Hosary, A.A.; M.E. Raid, R.A. Nagwa and A.H. Manal (2000):** Heterosis and combining ability in durum wheat. Proc. 9th Conf. Agron., Minufiya Univ., Sept. 2000, 101-117.
- El-Seidy, E.H. and A.A. Hamada (2000): Interaction of wheat genotypes x water sources. Proc. 9th Conf. Agron., Menofiya Univ., Sept. (2000), 17-34.

 Gamble, E. E. (1962): Gene effects in corn (Zea Maize L.).
- **Gamble, E. E.** (1962): Gene effects in corn (*Zea Maize L.*). 1. Separation and relative importance of gene effects for yield. Canadian Jour. of Plant Sci., 42: 339 348.
- Garole, A. K. and B. A. Monpara (2005): Genetics of some important yield determinants in four bread wheat crosses. National J. of Plant Improvement. 2005. 7: 2, 65-68. 9 ref. (C.F. Computer Inter. Agric. Cent. for Information Service).
- **Ghimiray, T.S. and K.K. Sarkar (2000):** Estimations of genetic parameters for some quantitative traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) grown in Terai soils of west Bengal. Environment and Ecology 18: 338-340 (c.f. CAB Abst. 2000/2002).

- **Hagras, A.A.T.** (1999): Inheritance of some quantitative characters in bread wheat. M.Sc. Thesis, Zagazig Univ. Egypt.
- **Hamada, A.A.** (2003): Heterosis and gene action of yield and its components and some growth traits in an eight parents diallel cross of bread wheat under three sowing dates. Menofiya J. Agric. Res., 28 (3): 787-819.
- Hamada, A.A.; E.H. El-Seidy and A.K. Moustafa (2002): Genetic behaviour of some economic characters in two wheat crosses. Egypt. J. plant Breed. 6 (2): 31-50.
- **Hayman, B.I.** (1958): The separation of epistatic form additive and dominance variation in generation means. Heredity 12: 371-390.
- **Hayman, B.I. and K. Mather (1955):** The description of gene interaction in continuous variation. Biometrics. 11: 69-82.
- **Hendawy, H.I.** (2003): Genetic architecture of yield and its components and some other agronomic traits in bread wheat. Menofiya J. Agric. Res., 28 (1): 71-86.
- **Jinks, J.L. and R.M. Jones (1958):** Estimation of the components of heterosis. Genetics, Princeton. 43: 223 224.
- **Johanson, H. W.; F. Robinson and R. E. Comstock (1955):** Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. Agron. J. 47: 314-318.
- **Kavar, S. A.; D. R. Mehta and V. P. Chovatia** (2007): An analysis of generation means for yield and its component traits in macaroni wheat (*Triticum durum Desf.*). National J. of Plant Improvement. 2007. 9: 1, 39-42. 12 ref. (C.F. Computer Inter. Agric. Cent. for Information Service).
- **Liu, C.F. and S. M. Ma. (1994):** A study of the heritability, genetic advance and correlation of the main agronomic characters in spring wheat. Ningxia. J. Agric. and Forestry Sci. and Techn. 6:7-9. (C.F CAB Abstracts 1995).
- **Lokendra, S.; P. Vats; N. Vishnoi and S. Rathi (2007):** Heterotic effects, heritability, genetic advance and correlation coefficients among yield and quality attributes in 11 parent diallel crosses in wheat. International J. of Plant Sci. (Muzaffarnagar). 2007. 2: 1, 75-89. 31 ref. (C.F. Computer Inter. Agric. Cent. for Information Service).
- Mather, K. (1949): Biommeterical Genetics. Methen and co., Ltd London.
- Mather, K. and J. L. Jinks (1982): Biometrical Genetics. The study of continuous variation. Chapman and Hall, Ltd., London. p. 396.
- Menshawy, A. M. M. (1996): Genetic studies on earliness in wheat. M.Sc. Thesis, Zagazig Univ. Egypt.
- Miller, P. A.; J. C. Williams; H.F. Robinson and R.E. Comstock (1958): Estimates of genotypic and environmental variances and covariance in Upland cotton and their implication in selection. Agron. J. 50: 126-131.
- Naeem, A. and M. A. Chowdhry (2006): Genetic analysis of yield and some other quantitative traits in bread wheat. International J. of Agric. and Biology. 2006. 8: 4, 523-527. 16 ref. (C.F. Computer Inter. Agric. Cent. for Information Service).
- Nawracaa, J.; T. uczkiewicz and S. Dyba (2008): Analysis of genetic determination of yield components traits in F₁ and F₂ generations of winter wheat diallel crosses. Biuletyn Instytutu Hodowlii Aklimatyzacji Roslin. 2008. 250, 59-65. 29 ref. (C.F. Computer Inter. Agric. Cent. for Information Service).
- Ozkan, H.; T. Yabasanlar and I. Genc (1997): Genetic analysis of yield components, harvest index and biological yield in bread wheat under Mediterranean climatic conditions. Rachis 16: 49-52.

- **Saharan, R. P. and M. Singh (2009):** Coefficient of association of alleles and its implications in genetic analysis of some quantitative characters in durum wheat. Crop Res. (Hisar). 2009. 38: 1/3, 156-161. 9 ref. (C.F. Computer Inter. Agric. Cent. for Information Service).
- Said, M.H.S. (2003): Traditional and molecular breeding of wheat in relation to rust resistance. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta Univ. Egypt.
- **Salama, S.M. (2000):** Partial diallel and heterosis in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 27(6):1371-1384.
- Sharma, S. N.; R. R. Sharma; V. K. Bhatnager and R. S. Sain (1998): Inheritance of spike area in three intervarietal durum wheat crosses under different environments. Rachis, 17 (1&2) 27-31.
- **Shehab El-Din, T.M. (1997):** Three methods for studying the genetic behavior of heading date and plant in several wheat crosses. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 22: 1297-1306.
- **Singh, R. C.** (2003): Effect of heterosis and inbreeding depression in the inheritance of seed yield and its components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Environment and Ecology. 2003. 21: 4, 903-905. 5 ref. (C.F. Computer Inter. Agric. Cent. for Information Service).
- Singh. G.; G. S. Bhullar and K. S. Gill (1985): inheritance of some plant characters in an intervarietal cross of bread wheat. Crop Improv., 12 (12) 179 -183.
- **Tammam A.M** (2005): Generation mean analysis in bread wheat under different environmental conditions. Minofiya J. Agric. Res. Vol. 30. No. 3: 937-956.
- Yadav, R.K. and V.G. Narsinghani (1999): Gene effects for yield and its components in wheat. Rachis 18: 1-3.
- Yadav, R.K.; Parvez-Khan and P. Singh (2003): Heritability and genetic advance in common wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Farm-Sci.-J. (2003); 12 (2): 163-164.

التفاعل الجينى والتوريث لبعض الصفات الكمية والوصفية لثلاث هجن من قمح الخبز

سمير سيد بيومى مراد* وحمزة السيد يسن* و على مصطفى موسى** و أشرف صلاح عبد الحميد محمود**

*كلية الزراعة - جامعة الازهر و **معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية- مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجري هذا البحث في محطة البحوث الزراعية بسدس خلال المواسم (٢٠٠٤/٢٠٠٥، ٢٠٠٦/٢٠٠٥، درجة المري بهدف دراسة تأثير الفعل الجيني. قوة الهجين. الإنخفاض الناشئ عن التربية الداخلية درجة التوريث والتحسين الوراثي المتوقع للصفات: تاريخ التزهير – تاريخ النضج- إرتفاع النبات – عدد السنابل / النبات عدد السنيبلات / سنبلة – عدد الحبوب/ سنبلة - وزن الحبوب /سنبلة - وزن ال٠٠٠١ حبه – محصول الحبوب /النبات لثلاثة هجن من قمح الخبز وهي (سدس ٤ ×سدس ١) ، (سدس ١ × جيزة ١٦٨) و (جيزة ١٦٨ جميزة ٩)، وأختبر لكل هجين العشائر الستة وهي الاباء والجيلين الاول والثاني والهجينين الرجعيين لكلا الابوين ، وتم تقييم العشائر الستة لكل هجين في تجربة قطاعات كاملة العشوائية ذات أربعة مكررات ، وفيم يلي أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها:

- أظهرت النتائج وجود معنوية موجبة لقوة الهجين المنسوبة لمتوسط الابوين لصفات عدد السنيبلات / سنبلة وعدد الحبوب / سنبلة وعدد الحبوب / سنبلة ومحصول الحبوب / نبات في الثلاث هجن، صفة وزن الد المعنوب الثاني والثالث، بينما أظهرت النتائج وجود معنوية سالبة لقوة الهجين لصفات تاريخ التزهير وتاريخ النضيج وارتفاع النبات في الثلاث هجن، صفة عدد السنابل / نبات في الهجين الثاني.
- · توجد معنوية سالبة للتأثير الناشئ عن التربية الداخلية لصفات تاريخ التزهير وتاريخ النضج وعدد السنابل / نبات في الثلاث هجن ، صفة ارتفاع النبات في الهجينين الثاني والثالث ، صفة وزن الـ ١٠٠٠ حبة في الهجينين الاول والثالث، بينما أظهرت النتائج وجود معنوية موجبة لصفات عدد السنيبلات / سنبلة وعدد

- الحبوب / سنبلة ووزن الحبوب/ سنبلة في الثلاث هجن ، صفة وزن الـ ١٠٠٠ حبة في الهجين الثاني، محصول الحبوب / نبات في الهجينين الثاني والثالث.
- أوضحت النتائج معنوية تأثير الفعل الجيني المضيف لمعظم الصفات في الثلاث هجن عدا تاريخ التزهير
 وتاريخ النضج ووزن الـ ١٠٠٠ حبة في الهجين الثالث، إرتفاع النبات وعدد السنيبلات / سنبلة ووزن
 حبوب / سنبلة في الهجين الثاني والثالث، محصول الحبوب / نبات في الهجين الثاني.
- أظهر تأثير الفعل الجيني السيادي معنوية موجبة لمعظم الصفات عدا إرتفاع النبات وعدد السنيبلات/سنبلة ووزن الـ ١٠٠٠ حبة في الهجين الثالث ، عدد السنابل / نبات في الهجين الثاني ، وزن الحبوب / سنبلة في الهجين الاول.
- كان تأثير الفعل الجيني من النوع (المضيف × المضيف) معنوياً لمعظم الصفات عدا صفة إرتفاع النبات في الهجين الاول والثالث، عدد السنابل / نبات في الهجين الاول، عدد السنيبلات / سنبلة ووزن الـ١٠٠٠ حبة في الهجين الثالث. كما أظهر التفاعل الجيني من النوع (المضيف × السيادي) معنوية لكل الصفات عدا تاريخ التزهير في الهجين الثاني، إرتفاع النبات وعدد السنيبلات / سنبلة ومحصول السنبلة ووزن الـ١٠٠٠ حبة في الهجين الثالث، ووزن الحبوب / سنبلة في الهجينين الثاني والثالث، بينما كان التفاعل الجيني من النوع (السيادي × السيادي) معنوياً لمعظم الصفات عدا إرتفاع النبات في الهجين الثالث.
- أظهرت درجة التوريث بمعناها الواسع قيم مرتفعة ومتوسطة لمعظم الصفات تحت الدراسة، بينما أظهرت درجة التوريث بمعناها الضيق قيم متوسطة لمعظم الصفات وهذا يوضح أهمية الفعل الجيني المضيف في وراثة تلك الصفات مع إمكانية الإنتخاب لها في الأجيال المبكرة.
- وجود قيم مرتفعة للتحسين الوراثي المتوقع لصفة إرتفاع النبات وعدد الحبوب/ سنبلة في الهجن الثلاثة ،
 محصول الحبوب / نبات في الهجين الثاني، بينما كان التحسين الوراثي المتوقع ذات قيم متوسطة لصفة تاريخ النز هير وتاريخ النضج وعدد السنبيلات/ سنبلة ومحصول الحبوب للنبات في الهجينين الاول والثالث ،
 ، في حين أظهرت صفة وزن الـ ١٠٠٠ حبة قيم منخفضة للتحسين الوراثي المتوقع في الثلاث هجن.
- يمكن الاستفادة من هذا البحث في تحسين هذه الصفات من خلال استخدام طرق التربية التقليدية العادية مع اتباع طريقة الانتخاب بالنسب كوسيلة فعالة في هذا المجال، بالإنتخاب في الأجيال الإنعزالية المبكرة لصفة التبكير والصفات المحصولية الهامة وذلك للحصول على سلالات مبكرة النضج عالية المحصول.