

EVALUATION OF "EARLY SWEET" GRAPEVINE CV ON SOME ROOTSTOCKS GROWN UNDER SANDY SOIL

Ahmed, A.E.M. Silem

Hort. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Al-Azahar Univ. Assuit, Egypt

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out during two successive seasons of 2016 and 2017 to evaluate the influence of five grape rootstocks namely (Harmony, Salt Creek, Freedom, Rechter or Poulson) on growth and productively for "Early sweet" grapevine cv grown under sandy soil.

A great variation was observed in growth, nutritional status, yield and fruit quality characteristics of "Early sweet" grapevines.

Grafting on Salt Creek, Freedom, Harmony, Poulson and Rechter, in descending order gave the best results on all the above mentioned investigated parameters.

It can be recommended that for producing an economical yield and berries quality of "Early sweet" grapevine cv grown under sandy soil conditions it is necessary to select transplants grafted on Salt Creek or Freedom grape rootstocks.

Keywords: Harmony, Salt Creek, Freedom, Rechter, Poulson, Sandy soil, "Early sweet" grapevines, Growth, Yield and Berries quality.

INTRODUCTION

Yield and both physical and chemical characteristics of different grapevine cvs are materially affected by varying grape rootstocks. The sensitivity of these grapevine rootstocks grown under sandy soil to tolerate and with stand biotic and a biotic stresses is greatly differentiated. Selecting the best grape rootstock for the specific scion is highly realized for obtaining higher yield and berries of good quality parameters. Nowadays, these are numerous grape rootstocks are introduced to Egypt. Therefore, it essential to evaluate these grape rootstocks applied with various grapevine cvs.

Selecting the best grape rootstock under soil textures and climatic conditions was very necessary for promoting yield and fruit quality. The use of suitable grape rootstock surely reflected on alleviating the adverse effects of salinity, drought, CaCO₃ and the incidence of nematodes on fruiting on fruiting of different grapevine cvs. In this respect freedom grape rootstock is resistant to nematodes and makes scions more vigorous. Salt creek (Ramsy) is resistant to salinity and nematodes. Grape rootstocks namely Paulson 1103, Richter 110 and Harmony are highly resistant to drought, well adapted to acidic soils and moderate resistant to salinity.

Growth, vine nutritional status, yield and berries quality of grape cvs were different according to the grape rootstock (Main *et al.*, 2002; Striegler *et al.*, 2004; Somkuwar *et al.*, 2006; Gaser, 2007; Stino *et al.*, 2011; Rizk-

Alla *et al.*, 2013; El-Gendy, 2013; Kidman *et al.*, 2013; Cakir *et al.*, 2013; Somkuwar *et al.*, 2015; Mahmoudzadeh, 2015 and Desouky *et al.*, 2015).

The target of this study was choosing the appropriate grape rootstocks for "Early sweet" grapevines grown under sandy soil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted during 2016 and 2017 seasons on 75 "Early sweet" vines (12 –years old) grafted on five grape rootstocks namely (Harmony, Salt Creek, Freedom, Richter 110 or Poulson 1103) on the basis of 15 12-years old Flame seedless grapevines onto each grape rootstock. The selected vines on different grape rootstocks were grown in a private vineyard located at West Malawy, Malawy district, Minia governorate. The texture of the soil is sandy. The vines were supported by Gable system. Long pruning system (Cane pruning) was conducted on the first week of Jan. during both seasons leaving 72 eyes/vine (on the basis of 6 fruiting canes X 10 eyes plus 6 renewal spurs X 2 eyes). The vines were planted at 3 X 2 meters apart. Drip irrigation system using well water (1.5 ds/m) was used. The selected vines received regular and common horticultural practices. Soil analysis was done according to **Wilde *et al.*, (1985)** and the data are shown in Table (1).

Table (1): Mechanical, physical and chemical analysis of the tested vineyard soil:

Characteristics	Values
Particle size distribution	
Sand %	:77.7
Silt %	:11.3
Clay %	:11
Texture garde	Sandy
pH (1:2.5 extract)	:8.00
E.C. (1 : 2.5 extract) (mmhos/ 1cm/ 25°C)	:0.96
O.M. %	:0.25
CaCO ₃ %	:2.41
Macronutrients values	
Total N%	:0.02
P (Olsen method, ppm)	:2.1
K (ammonium acetate, ppm)	:19.00
Mg (ppm)	:5.00
S (ppm)	:1.4
EDTA extractable micronutrients (ppm):	
Zn	:0.79
Fe	:1.11
Mn	:1.9
Cu	:0.72

EVALUATION OF "EARLY SWEET" GRAPEVINE CV ON..... 97

The selected vines were grafted into the following five grape rootstocks:

1. Richter110
2. Poulson 1103
3. Harmony
4. Salt Creek
5. Freedom

During both seasons, the following parameters were recorded:

- 1- Vegetative growth aspects namely leaf area (cm)² (**Ahmed and Morsy, 1999**), wood ripening coefficient (**Bouard, 1966**), cane thickness and pruning wood weight (kg/vine).
- 2- Percentages of N, P and K in the leaves on dry weight basis (**Cotteni et al., 1982 and Balo et al., 1988**).
- 3- Yield / vine expressed in yield (kg), number of cluster per vine and cluster weight (g).
- 4- Percentage of shot berries.
- 5- Physical and chemical characteristics of the berries namely berry weight, T.S.S.%, total sugars % (**A.O.A.C., 2000**), and total acidity % (**A.O.A.C.**) as g tartaric acid/100 ml juice.

Statistical analysis was done A randomized complete block design (RCBD) with 3 replicates used. Each replicate consisted 5 uniform vigour. Treatment means were compared using new L.S.D at 5 % (**Mead et al., 1993**).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Vegetative growth characteristics:

Data in Table (2) clearly show that varying grape rootstocks had significant effects on all growth characteristics (Leaf area (cm²), Wood ripening coefficient, Cane thickness (cm) and Pruning wood weight/vine (kg)) of "Early sweet" grapevines. Significant differences on these growth aspects were observed among the five grape rootstocks. The highest values of these growth aspects were recorded when "Early sweet" grapevines were grafted on 100 Richter, Poulson, Harmony, Freedom and Salt Creek, in ascending order. The maximum values of leaf area (11.7 & 113.8 cm²), wood ripening coefficient (0.94 & 0.96), cane thickness (1.25 & 1.28 cm) and pruning wood weight (1.81 & 1.75 kg) were recorded on "Early sweet" grapevine on Salt Creek rootstock during both seasons, respectively. The lowest values of these growth aspects were recorded on the vines onto 110 Richter grape rootstock. These results were true during both seasons.

2- Percentages of N, P and K in the leaves:

Data in Tables (2 & 3) clearly exhibit that varying grape rootstocks of "Early sweet" grapevine had significant effect on the percentages of N, P and K in the leaves of "Early sweet" grapevines. Grafting "Early sweet" grapevines into the five grape rootstocks namely Salt Creek, Freedom, Harmony, Poulson

and 110 Richter, in descending order significantly enhanced these nutrients. Grafting "Early sweet" grapevine on Salt Creek rootstock gave the maximum values of N (2.11 & 2.01 %), P (0.231 & 0.219%) and K (1.51 & 1.47 %) during both seasons, respectively. The lowest values of N (1.66 & 1.61 %), P (0.141 & 0.139 %) and K (1.11 & 1.08 %) were recorded when "Early sweet" grapevines was grafted onto 110 Richter grape rootstock grape. These results were true during both seasons.

Table (2): Effect of different grapes rootstocks on some vegetative growth aspects and percentage of N in the leaves of "Early sweet" grapevines during 2016 and 2017 seasons

Different grape rootstocks	Leaf area (cm) ²		Wood ripening coefficient		Cane thickness (cm)		Pruning wood weight/vine (kg)		Leaf N %	
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
110 Richter	101.0	102.7	0.61	0.59	0.91	0.94	1.11	1.14	1.66	1.61
Poulson	103.9	104.9	0.70	0.71	0.98	1.01	1.41	1.39	1.76	1.71
Harmony	106.3	107.8	0.79	0.81	1.08	1.12	1.53	1.50	1.90	1.81
Freedom	108.9	110.7	0.87	0.88	1.16	1.20	1.69	1.64	1.97	1.91
Salt Creek	111.7	113.8	0.94	0.96	1.25	1.28	1.81	1.75	2.11	2.01
New L.S.D at 5%	1.7	2.1	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.11	0.10	0.06	0.08

3-Yield/vine and cluster weight:

It is evident from the data in Table (3) that yield expressed in weight and number of clusters/vine and cluster weight were significantly affected by varying grape rootstocks applied with "Early sweet" grapevines. The best grape rootstocks for "Early sweet" grapevines regarding the yield and cluster weight were Salt Creek, freedom, Harmony, Poulson and 110 Richter, in descending order. Significant differences on these parameters were observed among the five rootstocks. Yield per vine of "Early sweet" grapevines onto 110 Richter, Poulson, Harmony, Freedom and Salt Creek was 8.9 & 9.5 & 10.5 & 11.6 and 13.1 kg in the first season and was 7.9 & 9.8 & 10.9 & 12.3 and 13.9 kg in the second one, respectively. Cluster weight was maximize (395.5 & 396.0 g) in "Early sweet" grapevine grafted on Salt Creek during both seasons, respectively. These results were true during both seasons.

Table (3): Effect of different grapes rootstocks on the percentages P and K in the leaves, yield/vine and average cluster of "Early sweet" grapevines during 2016 and 2017 seasons

Different grape rootstocks	Leaf P %		Leaf K %		Number of clusters/vine		Yield/vine (kg)		Average cluster weight (g)	
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
110 Richter	0.141	0.139	1.11	1.08	25.0	24.0	8.3	7.9	331.0	329.9
Poulson	0.161	0.157	1.21	1.19	27.0	28.0	9.5	9.8	350.0	348.7
Harmony	0.189	0.183	1.32	1.29	29.0	30.0	10.5	10.9	362.0	363.6
Freedom	0.219	0.201	1.41	1.39	31.0	33.0	11.6	12.3	374.0	373.7
Salt Creek	0.231	0.219	1.51	1.47	33.0	35.0	13.1	13.9	395.5	376.0
New L.S.D at 5%	0.008	0.006	0.05	0.07	2.0	2.0	0.9	0.8	11.9	12.0

EVALUATION OF "EARLY SWEET" GRAPEVINE CV ON..... 99

4-Quality of the berries:

Data in Table (4) obviously reveal that varying grape rootstocks had significant effect on average berry weight, T.S.S. %, total sugars % and total acidity %. The promotion on berries quality expressed in increasing berry weight, T.S.S. % and total sugars and reducing total acidity % was observed when "Early sweet" grapevines was grafted on 110 Richter, Poulson, Harmony, Freedom and Salt Creek in ascending order. Significant differences on these quality parameters were observed among the five grape rootstocks. The best results were recorded on "Early sweet" cv on Salt Creek followed by Freedom. Grafting on 110 Richter grape root gave the worst effects on fruit quality. Similar results were noticed during both seasons.

5-Shot berries %:

As shown in Table (4) shot berries % was significantly varied with varying grape rootstocks applied with "Early sweet" grapevines. It was significantly minimized with grafting 110 Richter, Poulson, Harmony, Freedom and Salt Creek, in ascending order. Varying grape rootstocks caused significant differences on shot berries %. The lowest values of shot berries (6.3 & 7.6 %) in "Early sweet" grapevines were recorded when grafter on Salt Creek grape rootstock. Grafting on 110 Richter gave the highest values (14.1 & 15.2 %). Similar trend was noticed during both seasons.

Table (4): Effect of different grapes rootstocks on the percentage of shot berries and some physical and chemical characteristics of the berries of "Early sweet" grapevines during 2016 and 2017 seasons

Different grape rootstocks	Shot berries %		Average berry weight (g)		T.S.S. %		Total sugars %		Total acidity %	
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
110 Richter	14.1	15.2	.41	4.37	17.1	17.3	15.2	15.3	0.683	0.691
Poulson	12.0	13.1	4.60	4.56	17.6	18.0	15.9	16.1	0.681	0.671
Harmony	10.1	11.2	4.76	4.86	18.1	18.6	16.9	16.9	0.661	0.654
Freedom	8.0	9.2	4.90	4.91	18.6	19.2	17.4	17.7	0.641	0.632
Salt Creek	6.3	7.6	5.05	5.06	19.4	19.9	17.8	18.1	0.618	0.614
New L.S.D at 5%	1.7	1.5	0.11	0.09	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.018	0.016

The great variation on growth, vine nutritional status, yield, physical and chemical characteristics of the berries of Flame seedless grapevines according to grape rootstock may be attributed the compatibility between fusion of the adjoin cambial tissues is critical to effective translocation of water, nutrients and natural hormones.

Effects on tree vigour, precocity fruit production and maturity are achieved through complex in relationship between the roots and canopy of the trees. Rootstocks directly affect the ability of the trees to take up the water and nutrients from the soil. They are able to cause significant alter the pattern of canopy development and factious such as photosynthesis. Besides giving anchorage to the trees, rootstock is also responsible for the absorption of water and nutrients, storage of photosynthetic and synthesis of hormones making the scion part more tolerable. Mineral nutrients are greatly influenced affect the growth production, fruit quality by

the differential ratio of absorption and translocation of mineral elements from soil which ultimately effect the overall performance of the plants (**Richardson et al., 2003**).

These results are in agreement with those obtained by **Main et al., (2002); Striegler et al., (2004); Somkuwar et al., (2006); Gaser, (2007); Stino et al., (2011); Rizk-Alla et al., (2013); El-Gendy, (2013); Kidman et al., (2013); Cakir et al., (2013); Somkuwar et al., (2015); Mahmoudzadeh, (2015) and Desouky et al., (2015)**.

CONCLUSION

Under sandy soil conditions for producing an economical yield with good quality and berries it is necessary to select "Early sweet" grapevines transplants grafted on Salt Creek or Freedom grape rootstock.

REFERENCES

- Gobara, A. A. (1999)**. Behaviour of flame seedless grapevines to fertilization with some micro and macronutrients and vine load. *Monsoura University Journal of Agricultural Sciences (Egypt)*.
- Ahmed, F. F. and El-Morsy, F.M. (1994)**: Improving productivity of Red Roomy grapevines by the application of paclobutrazol and boron .J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 19 (2): 767-776.
- Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (2000)**: Official Methods of Analysis of A.O.A.C. international 17th ed. Published by O.A.C. international U.S.A.
- Attia, M.F.S. (2002)**: Effect of some horticultural practice on yield and fruit quality of White Banaty grapevines. Ph.D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Balo, E.; Prilezky, G.; Happ, I. Kaholomi, M. and Vega, L. (1988)**: Soil improvement and the use of leaf analysis for forecasting nutrient requirements of grapes. Potash Review subject 2nd suite No.6.
- Bouard, J. (1966)**. Recherches physiologiques sur et en particulier sur laoutment des serments. Thesis Sci. Nat.Bardeux, France p.34.
- Cakir, A., Karaca, N., Sidfar, M., Baral, C., Soylemezoglu, G. (2013)**. Determination of grafting success of sultani seedless grape variety on different American Rootstocks. Yuzuncu Yil University J. Agric. Sci., 23(3), 229–235.
- Cottenie, A.; Verloo, M.; Velghe, M. and Camerlynck, R. (1982)**: Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soil. Ghent, Belgium, Laboratory of Analytical and Agro- chemistry. State Univ. pp. 200-210.
- Desouky I.M., Laila F. Haggag, Shahin M.F.M., Fikria H. Khalil and Eman S. El-Hady (2015)**: Influence of Two Grape Rootstocks on Yield Quantity and Quality of Thompson Seedless. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research. Volume : 04 | Issue : 02 | April-June | 2015 Pages: 190-194
- El- Gendy, R.S.S. (2013)**: Evaluation of Flame seedless grapevines grafted on some rootstocks. J. of Hort. Sci and Ornamental plants 5(1): 1-11.

EVALUATION OF "EARLY SWEET" GRAPEVINE CV ON..... 101

- Gaser, A. S. (2007).** Impact of some rootstocks on perform ance of superior grape cultivar. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ*, 32(11), 9347-9375.
- Kamel, M.K. (2002):** Physiological studies on pruning and fertilization of Flame seedless grapevines. (*V. vinifera* L.). Ph. D. Thesis. Fac. of Gric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Kidman, C. M., Dry, P. R., McCarthy, M. G., & Collins, C. (2013).** Reproductive performance of Cabernet Sauvignon and Merlot (*Vitis vinifera* L.) is affected when grafted to rootstocks. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 19(3), 409-421.
- Mahmoudzadeh, H. (2015):**The Effects of Crown Gall Resistant Rootstocks on the Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Thompson Seedless Grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. Int. J. Curr. Res. Biosci. Plant Biol. 2(10): 1-8
- Main, G., Morris, J., & Striegler, K. (2002).** Rootstock effects on Chardonel productivity, fruit, and wine composition. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 53(1), 37-40.
- Marangoni, B.; Toselli, K.; Venturi, A.; Fontana, M.; Scudellari, D.; Avilla, J. (ed.) and Ploesny, F. (2001):** Effects of vineyard soil management and fertilization on grape diseases and wine quality. Proceedings of the IOBC- WPRS fifth international Conference on integrated fruit protection, Lleida, Spain, 22-26 October, Bulletin OILB- SRO, 24(5)353-358.
- Marschner, H. (1995):** Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants Academic Press. (London).
- Mead, R.; Currnow, R. N.; and Harted, A. M. (1993).** Statistical Methods in Agricultural and Experimental Biology. Second Ed. Chapman & Hall London. pp. 54 – 60.
- Mohamed, A.E.A. (2017)** .Studies on compatibility between scion and rootstock in grape vines. M.Sc. Thesis Fac., of Agric. Univ. Egypt.
- Moretti, G. (2005):** Rootstocks. *J. Vignevari* 32(11): 68-95.
- Omar, A.H. (2005):** Fertilization of Thompson seedless grapevines with mineral and organic source of nitrogen. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.* 30(12); 7855-7862.
- Passinham, J.V. (2004):**On the growing of grapevines in topics Acta. Horticulture: VII International Stmposium on Temperate Zone Fruits in the Tropics and Subtropics (65:39-44).
- Rizk-Alla, M. S., Sabry, G. H., & El-Wahab, M. A. (2013).** Influence of some rootstocks on the performance of Red Globe grape cultivar. *Journal of American Science*, 7(4), 71-81.
- Shaaban, A.S.A. (2014):** Effect of organic fertilization on growth and quality of Superior grapevines. Ph.D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Cairo. Univ., Egypt.
- Shaheen M. A., Sahar M. Abd El-Wahab, El-Morsy F.M. and Ahmed A.S.S. (2013).** Effect of organic and bio-Fertilizers as a partial substitute for NPK mineral fertilizer on vegetative growth, leaf mineral content, yield and fruit quality of Superior grapevine. *Journal of Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants* 5 (3): 151-159.

- Shawky, I.; El- Shazly, S.; El- Gazzar, A.; Selim, S. and Mansour, N. (2004): Effect of mineral and biological nitrogen fertilization on Thompson seedless grape transplants. I-Effect on vegetative growth. *Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor.* 42:3,1329-1345.
- Somkuwar, R. G., Jogaiah, S., Sawant, S. D., Taware, P. B., Bondage, D. D., & Itroutwar, P. (2014). Rootstocks influence the growth, biochemical contents and disease incidence in Thompson Seedless grapevines. *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology*, 4(6), 1030.
- Somkuwar, R. G., Satisha, J., & Ramteke, S. D. (2006). Effect of different rootstocks on fruitfulness in Thompson Seedless (*Vitis vinifera* L.) grapes. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, 5(1), 150-152.
- Somkuwar, R. G., Taware, P. B., Bhange, M. A., Sharma, J., & Khan, I. (2015). Influence of different rootstocks on growth, photosynthesis, biochemical composition, and nutrient contents in 'Fantasy Seedless' grapes. *International Journal of Fruit Science*, 15(3), 251-266.
- Stino, R. G., Ghoneim, E. I., Marwad, I. D., & Fadhil, T. R. (2011). Performance of summer grafted superior seedless grapes grafts on different rootstock. *J. of Hort. Sci. and Ornament. Plants*, 3(1), 86-90.
- Striegler, K.; Morris, J; Main, G and Lake, C. (2004): Effect of rootstock on growth, yield, fruit composition and vine nutritional status of cabernet Franc. *Amer. J. Enol. Vitic.* 55: 317.
- Vercesi, A. (2000): Soil and foliar applied fertilizers in organic viticulture. In *formatore. Agrario.* 56(6): 83-89, Italy.
- Weaver, R.J. (1976): *Grape Growing.* A Wiley Interscience Publication John Wiley & Davis, New York, London, Sydney, Toronto. pp.160-175.
- Wilde, S.A.; Corey, R.B.; Layer, J.G. and Voigt, G.K. (1985): *Soils and Plant Analysis for Tree Culture.* Oxford, and 1131-1, publishing Co., New Delhi, pp. 96-106.
- Winkler, A.J.; Cook. A.J.; Kliewer, W.M. and Lider, L.A. (1974): *General viticulture.* California Univ. Press, Berkley pp. 60-74.
- Yagodin, B.A. (1990): *Agricultural Chemistry.* Mir Publishers Moscow pp. 278-281.

اختيار أفضل أصل لصنف العنب الإبرلي سويت النامي في التربة الرملية
أحمد عبد الفتاح السيد محمد سليم
قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الأزهر (فرع اسيوط) - مصر

اجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمي ٢٠١٦ و ٢٠١٧ لاختيار افضل اصل عنب (هارموني، السولت جريك، فريدم، ريختر، والبولسن) للعنب الإبرلي سويت النامي في التربة الرملية. كانت هناك اختلافات واضحة في صفات النمو الخضري والحالة الغذائية للكرمات وكمية المحصول وخصائص الجودة للعنب الإبرلي سويت المطعوم علي اصول العنب الخمسة السابقة. وتم تحقيق افضل نتائج بالنسبة للعنب الإبرلي سويت المطعوم علي (السولت جريك، فريدم هارموني، والبولسن، ريختر) مرتبة ترتيبا تنازليا. لتحقيق أفضل النتائج من وجهة النظر الاقتصادية بخصوص كمية المحصول وخصائص الجودة لحبات العنب الإبرلي سويت النامي في التربة الرملية فانه من الضروري استخدام شتلات مطعومة علي اصل العنب السولت جريك او الفريدم. الكلمات الدالة: هارموني، السولت جريك، فريدم، ريختر، والبولسن - التربة الرملية - العنب الإبرلي سويت- صفات النمو - كمية المحصول - خصائص الجودة للحبات.